

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Application of:

DUNAGAN et al.

Group Art Unit: Unassigned

Application No. Unassigned

Examiner: Unassigned

Filed: October 17, 2003

For: A METHOD FOR PROVIDING  
GUARANTEED DISTRIBUTED FAILURE

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98, the references listed on the enclosed Form PTO-1449 and/or Substitute Form PTO-1449 ("Form 1449") are submitted for consideration by the Examiner in the examination of the above-identified patent application.

The full consideration of the references in their entirety by the Examiner is respectfully requested and encouraged. Also, it is respectfully requested that the references be entered into the record of the present application and that the Examiner place his or her initials in the appropriate area on the enclosed Form 1449, thereby indicating the Examiner's consideration of each of the references.

The submission of the references listed on the Form 1449 is for the purpose of providing a complete record and is not a concession that the references listed thereon are prior art to the invention claimed in the patent application. The right is expressly reserved to establish an invention date earlier than the above-identified filing date in order to remove any reference submitted herewith as prior art should it be deemed appropriate to do so.

Further, the submission of the references is not to be taken as a concession that any reference represents art that is relevant or analogous to the claimed invention. Accordingly, the right to argue that any reference is not properly within the scope of prior art relevant to an examination of the claims in the above-identified application is also expressly reserved.

The Information Disclosure Statement is being filed:

- ☒ **within** any one of the following time periods: (a) within three months of the filing date of a national application other than a continued prosecution application under 37 CFR 1.53(d); (b) within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in 37 CFR 1.491 of an international application; (c) before the mailing date of a first Office Action on the merits; or (d) before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114.
- ☐ **after** (a), (b), (c) or (d) above, but before the mailing date of a final action under 37 CFR 1.113, a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and includes *one* of:
- ☐ the Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e) (see "Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e)" below).
- or*
- ☐ the fee of \$180 set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).
- ☐ **after** the mailing date of a final action under 37 CFR 1.113 or a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, and on or before payment of the issue fee, and includes the Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e) (see "Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e)" below), and the fee of \$180 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).
- ☐ **after** the mailing date of a Notice of Allowance under 37 CFR 1.311, and on or before payment of the issue fee, and **within** thirty days of receiving each item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement, and includes the Statement under 37 CFR 1.704(d) (see "Statement under 37 CFR 1.704(d)" below), and the fee of \$180 as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) (see "Fees" below).
- NOTE: This is for original applications except applications for a design patent, filed on or after May 29, 2000, wherein a paper containing only an Information Disclosure Statement in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 is being filed.

### Copies of the References

- ☒ Copies of the references listed on the enclosed Form 1449 are enclosed herewith. Attached to each reference not in the English language is a concise explanation of the relevance pursuant to 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3). An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of the relevance pursuant to 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).
- ☐ A copy of the foreign search report is enclosed herewith.

- ☐ The references listed on the enclosed Form 1449 were previously identified in the parent application(s) of the present application, and copies of the references were furnished at that time. Accordingly, additional copies of the references are not submitted herewith, so as not to burden the file with duplicate copies of references. The Examiner is respectfully requested to carefully review the references in accordance with the requirements set out in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.98(d), the details of the parent application(s) relied upon for an earlier filing date under 35 USC 120 in which copies of the references were previously furnished are set out below:

U.S. APPLICATIONS		Status ( <i>check one</i> )		
U.S. APPLICATIONS	U.S. FILING DATE	PATENTED	PENDING	ABANDONED
1.				
2.				
3.				

**Statement under 37 CFR 1.97(e)**

- ☒ The **undersigned** hereby states that each item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign patent application not more than three months prior to the filing of the Information Disclosure Statement.
- ☐ The **undersigned** hereby states that no item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign patent application, and, to the knowledge of the undersigned after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was known to any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the Information Disclosure Statement.

**Statement under 37 CFR 1.704(d)**

- ☐ The **undersigned** hereby states that each item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the Information Disclosure Statement.

**Fees**

- ☒ No fee is owed by the applicant(s).
- ☐ The IDS Fee of \$180 under 37 CFR 1.17(p) is enclosed herewith.

In re Appln. of DUNAGAN et al.  
Application No. Unassigned

**Method of Payment of Fees**

- ☐ Attached is a check in the amount of \$ .  
☐ Charge Deposit Account No. 12-1216 in the amount of \$ . (A duplicate copy of this communication is enclosed for that purpose.)

**Authorization to Charge Additional Fees**

- ☒ If any additional fees are owed in connection with this communication, please charge Deposit Account No. 12-1216. (A duplicate copy of this communication is enclosed for that purpose.)

**Instructions as to Overpayment**

- ☒ Credit Account No. 12-1216.  
☐ Refund

Respectfully submitted,



A. Wesley Ferreebee, Reg. No. 51,312  
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER  
700 Thirteenth Street, N.W., Suite 300  
Washington, DC 20005-3960  
(202) 737-6770 (telephone)  
(202) 737-6776 (facsimile)

Date: 10/17/03  
AWF:kas

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box →



Substitute for form 1449A/B/PTO  <b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b>  (Use as many sheets as necessary)				<b>C mplete if Known</b>	
				Application Number	Unassigned
				Filing Date	October 17, 2003
				First Named Inventor	DUNAGAN et al.
				Group Art Unit	Unassigned
Examiner Name	Unassigned				
Sheet	1	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	224487/MICROSOFT


U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS						
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	U.S. Patent Document		Name of Patentee or Applicant	Date of Publication	Filing Date If Appropriate
		Application or Patent Number	Kind Code			
	P 1	10/356,961		HARVEY et al.		02/03/2003

OTHER - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number (s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation	
			Yes	No**
	A 1	A. Adya, W. J. Bolosky, M. Castro, G. Cermak, R. Chaiken, J. R. Douceur, J. Howell, J. R. Lorch, M. Theimer, and R. P. Wattenhofer, "FARSITE: Federated, Available, and Reliable Storage for an Incompletely Trusted Environment," <i>In Proceedings of the 5<sup>th</sup> Symposium on Operating Systems Design and Implementation (OSDI), 2002.</i>		
	A 2	L. F. Cabrera, M. B. Jones, and M. Theimer, "Herald: Achieving a global event notification service," <i>In HotOS VIII, May 2001.</i>		
	A 3	M. Fischer, N. Lynch, and M. S. Paterson, "Impossibility of distributed consensus with one faulty process," <i>Journal of the ACM, 32(2):374-382, 1985.</i>		
	A 4	J. Halpern and Y. Moses, "Knowledge and common knowledge in a distributed environment," <i>Journal of the ACM, 37:549-587, 1990.</i>		
	A 5	N. J. A. Harvey, M. B. Jones, S. Saroiu, M. Theimer, and A. Wolman, "SkipNet: A Scalable Overlay Network with Practical Locality Properties," <i>In Proceedings of Fourth USENIX Symposium on Internet Technologies and Systems (USITS '03), Mar. 2003.</i>		
	A 6	S. Ratnasamy, P. Francis, M. Handley, R. Karp, and S. Shenker, "A Scalable Content-Addressable Network," <i>In Proceedings of ACM SIGCOMM, Aug. 2001.</i>		
	A 7	A. Rowstron and P. Druschel. "Pastry: Scalable, decentralized object location and routing for large-scale peer-to-peer systems," <i>In International Conference on Distributed Systems Platforms (Middleware), pages 329-350, Heidelberg, Germany, Nov. 2001.</i>		
	A 8	I. Stoica, R. Morris, D. Karger, M. F. Kaashoek, and H. Balakrishnan, "Chord: A Scalable Peer-To-Peer Lookup Service for Internet Applications," <i>In Proceedings of ACM SIGCOMM, Aug. 2001.</i>		

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

\* A concise statement of relevance is being submitted in lieu of a translation. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).

+ An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of relevance under 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box → 

Substitute for form 1449A/B/PTO  <b>INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT</b>  (Use as many sheets as necessary)				<b>Complete if Known</b>	
				Application Number	Unassigned
				Filing Date	October 17, 2003
				First Named Inventor	DUNAGAN et al.
				Group Art Unit	Unassigned
				Examiner Name	Unassigned
Sheet	2	of	2	Attorney Docket Number	224487/MICROSOFT

OTHER - NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS				
Examiner Initials	Doc. No.	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number (s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	Translation	
			Yes	No**
	A 9	B. Y. Zhao, J. D. Kubiatowicz, and A. D. Joseph, "Tapestry: An Infrastructure for Fault-Tolerant Wide-area Location and Routing," <i>Technical Report UCB//CSD-01-1141, UC Berkeley, Apr. 2001.</i>		
	A 10	R. van Renesse, K. P. Birman, R. Friedman, M. Hayden, and D. A. Karr, "A framework for protocol composition in Horus," <i>In Proceedings of the Fourteenth Annual ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing, pages 80-89, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, 2-23 Aug. 1995.</i>		
	A 11	R. van Renesse, Y. Minsky, and M. Hayden, "A gossip-style failure detection service," <i>Proceedings of Middleware, 1998.</i>		
	A 12	N. Hu and P. Steenkiste, "Evaluation and Characterization of Available Bandwidth Probing Techniques," <i>In the IEEE JSAC Special Issue in Internet and WWW Measurement, Mapping, and Modeling, Vol. 21(6), Aug. 2003.</i>		
	A 13	D. Karger, P. Klein, and R. Tarjan, "A randomized linear-time algorithm to find minimum spanning trees," <i>Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery, 42(2), 1995.</i>		
	A 14	M. Castro, P. Druschel, A.-M. Kermarrec, and A. Rowstron, "SCRIBE: A large-scale and decentralized application-level multicast infrastructure," <i>IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications (JSAC) (Special issue on Network Support for Multicast Communications), 20(8), Oct. 2002.</i>		
	A 15	K. P. Birman and T. Clark, "Performance of the ISIS Distributed Computing Toolkit," <i>Technical Report TR-94-1432, Dept. of Computer Science, Cornell University, pages 1-29, Ithaca, NY, June 1994.</i>		

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--

\* A concise statement of relevance is being submitted in lieu of a translation. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).  
 + An English-language equivalent/patent, or an English-language abstract, or an English-language version of the search report or action by a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office is being submitted in lieu of a concise explanation of relevance under 37 CFR 1.98(a)(3).